Comparative Effectiveness Research: Implications for Safety Net Providers and the Populations They Serve

Eugene Rich MD

Senior Fellow and Director Mathematica Center on Health Care Effectiveness



Agenda

- What CER is and isn't
- Where CER is headed
- Outline options for putting CER into practice
- How CER can address health care disparities
- Potential impact of CER on safety net providers



Folicy Makers See a Central Role for CER in Health Care Reform

- Need for more comparative clinical effectiveness research
 - "relatively little rigorous evidence is available about which treatments work best for which patients..."*
- Need for more research on promoting use of CER in clinical practice

 "...the financial incentives for both providers and patients tend to encourage the adoption of more expensive treatments...even if evidence of their relative effectiveness is limited."*

Orszag, NEJM, Nov 2007



Stakeholders agree on- need for CER

- Advisors to Congress: CBO, MEDPAC, IOM
- Health Plans: AHIP; BCBSA
- Employers: eg NBGH
- Drug and Device Manufacturers: PHARMA, ADVAMED, BIO
- Consumer Groups: Consumer's Union, Partnership to Improve Patient Care (PIPC)
- Professional Associations: AMA, Academy Health, ACP, AAFP, ACS, AAMC,



Stakeholders disagree on- a few details

- What is CER?
- Who should pay for it?
- How should it be directed?
- How should it be used?



CER Definitions ARRA- FCCCER

- research comparing different interventions and strategies to prevent, diagnose, treat and monitor health conditions. medications, procedures,
 - medical and assistive devices and technologies,
 - behavioral change strategies, and
 - delivery system interventions
- to inform patients, providers, and decision-makers, about which interventions are most effective for which patients under specific circumstances."

ACA-

- research evaluating and comparing health outcomes and the clinical effectiveness, risks, and benefits of 2 or more medical treatments, services, and items...
 - health care interventions, protocols for treatment, care management, and delivery,
 - procedures, medical devices, diagnostic tools,
 - Pharmaceuticals...,
 - integrative health practices,
- The purpose ...is to assist patients, clinicians, purchasers, and policy-makers in making informed health decisions

MATHEMATICA Policy Research, Inc.

Defining CER: The Terminology Evolves

- Comparative Effectiveness Research- ARRA
- Patient Centered Outcomes Research- ACA
 Comparative clinical effectiveness research
- Possible drivers of name change
 - Patient-centered = supporting personalized medicine, rather than population-based decisions?
 - Comparative effectiveness (CE) too easily confused with cost effectiveness (CE)?



Limitations on CER in the ACA

PCORI "shall not develop or employ a dollars-perquality adjusted life year (or similar measure that discounts the value of a life because of an individual's disability) as a threshold to establish what type of health care is cost effective or recommended."



Stakeholders disagree on- a few details

What is CER?

- Who should pay for it?
- Flow should it be directed?
- How should it be used?



Using Appropriations to Pay for CER

- Federal research agencies rely on appropriators' annual support
- CER creates losers who really HATE losing
- Case in point: AHCPR
 - Established 1989
 - 1995: Back surgeon and device manufacturer hostility to PORT back pain research, and related guidelines
 - Funding decline; loss of PORTs and Guidelines functions, name change 1999 (to AHRQ)



Recent Federal Support for CER

AHRQ MMA Authority (2003)

- Effective Health Care program
- CERTs, DEcIDE Network, EPCs, Eisenberg Center
- Appropriation- \$30M

ARRA \$ 1.1 Billion for CER (out of \$787 B)

- \$400 M NIH
- \$300 M AHRQ
- \$400 M HHS OS



ACA-Paying for CER LONG-TERM

- Patient-Centered Outcomes Research Trust Fund
 - transfer from the Treasury to the Trust Fund
 - \$10 million in FY 2010,
 - \$50 million in FY 2011
 - up to \$150 million thereafter.
 - transfer per Medicare beneficiary (\$1 FY2013, then \$2)
 - FY 2013, health insurers contribute a fee equal to \$2 per covered beneficiary.

 By FY 2013 the Trust Fund will provide an estimated \$500 to 600 million a year for CER, depending on the number of Medicare enrollees and insured individuals



Stakeholders disagree on- a few details

- What is CER?
- Who should pay for it?
- How should it be directed?
- How should it be used?



How Should CER be directed?

ACA establishes the PCORI, a private, nonprofit corporation ("neither an agency nor establishment of the US Government")

Institute duties

- Identify national priorities for research
- Establish research project agenda to address priorities
- Carry out research project agenda ...in accordance with methodological standards adopted
- Establish Methodology Committee and Advisory Panels



PCORI Board of Governors

- 21 members appointed by Comptroller General
 - The Director of AHRQ (or designee) and Director of (NIH (or designee)
 - Three patient representatives
 - Seven provider representatives
 - Three representatives of private payers
 - Three representatives for pharmaceutical, device, diagnostic developers
 - One quality improvement or independent health services researcher
 - Two members representing government payers (the federal government or the states)
 - scientific expertise in clinical health sciences research, including epidemiology, decisions sciences, health economics, and statistics.

How Should CER be directed?

- Institute contracts for the management of funding and conduct of research
 - PCORI must give preference to AHRQ and NIH
 - If such research is authorized by the governing statutes of the Agency
- 20% of Trust Fund moneys go to HHS for "dissemination and capacity building."
 - 80% to AHRQ for dissemination and training
 - 20% to HHS for building data capacity and networks



Stakeholders disagree on- a few details

- What is CER?
- Who should pay for it?
- Flow should it be directed?
- How should it be used?



How should CER be used?

CBO "Score" for CER

- \$2.5 Billion spending on CER 2010-2019
- Federal Health Care savings \$.1 Billion-
 - Medicare savings from CER > spending after 7 years
 - Assumes no changes in Medicare coverage rules
 - Anticipates evidence leads to changes in physician practice and patient choice
- Private Health Care Savings (\$5 Billion in previous CBO estimate)
 - Anticipates private insurers would use CER

CBO, analysis of HR 3962, Oct 2009; and ,CBO Report on Comparative Effectiveness of Medical Treatments, Dec 2007



The Evidence Base for Health Care Reform

Comparative Effectiveness Research

Research on Implementing CER Patient and Community Engagement

Provider Incentives and Delivery System Transformation

Biomedical And Clinical Innovator Engagement Evidencebased policy Evidencebased, Affordable Health care



Engaging Innovators

Coverage decisions- tightening the standard of evidence for wide coverage of new technology

"Coverage with evidence development" speeding collection of additional comparative effectiveness info thru mandated studies or registries tied to coverage

Risk-sharing arrangements – e.g. manufacturers receive bonuses if projected outcomes are achieved



Consumer Engagement

Value-based insurance design-

- no "co-pays" for highly effective therapies
- Higher "co-pays" for therapies that are very "preference sensitive" or of unclear effectiveness

Informed patient decision-making modules- helping patients understand evidence of risks and benefits for "preference sensitive" decisions



Engaging Providers and the Delivery System

- Value –based provider payments e.g. pay providers more for highly effective therapies
- Bundled payment- use CER to guide what mix of services should be included in payment for an illness episode
- Provider feedback- on use of highly effective therapies
- P4P- bonuses for compliance with CER-based guidelines



Engaging Providers and the Delivery System

- Public report cards- e.g. publish provider network rates of delivering highly effective treatments
- Information Technology- building in CER-based computerized reminders, alerts, protocols
- Malpractice reform- e.g. protection from lawsuits for actions based on CER-based guidelines



Using CER: What's off the table in ACA

Coverage and reimbursement decisions by PCORI

 PCORI may not mandate coverage, reimbursement or other policies

PCORI research and reports may not include practice guidelines, coverage recommendations, payment or policy recommendations



Using CER: What's on the table in ACA

Dissemination

- AHRQ is charged with disseminating the research findings published by PCORI and other CER/PCOR
- Clinical decision support
 - PCORI is expected to work to promote use of CER findings via automated clinical support tools
- Use of PCORI findings in coverage and reimbursement decisions by private insurers

Use of PCORI findings in coverage and reimbursement decisions by public programs

- PCORI research findings cannot be SOLE input to Medicare coverage decision
- But use of CER info not prohibited

How CER can address health care disparities

CER/PCOR must address concerns and preferences of relevant sub-populations



CER Definitions- Addressing Dispatities ARRA-FCCCER

- One important consideration for comparative effectiveness research is addressing the needs of priority populations and sub-groups, i.e., those often underrepresented in research.
- The priority populations specifically include...racial and ethnic minorities, persons with disabilities, children, the elderly, and patients with multiple chronic conditions...

ACA-

- Research shall be designed, as appropriate, to take into account the potential for differences in the effectiveness of health care treatments, services, and items as used with various subpopulations
- such as racial and ethnic minorities, women, age, and groups of individuals with different comorbidities, genetic and molecular sub-types, research evaluating and or quality of life preferences and include members of such subpopulations as subjects ...

MATHEMATICA Policy Research, Inc.

How CER can address health care disparities

- CER/PCOR must address concerns and preferences of relevant sub-populations
 - Potential support for facilities and provider networks to conduct/participate in research to address effectiveness in special populations
 - Potential for "coverage with evidence development" while data is collected on effectiveness of innovations for special populations

How CER can address health care disparities

- Reforms to reward use of evidence-based services
 - Value –based provider payments e.g. pay providers more for highly effective therapies
 - Bundled payment- use CER to guide what mix of services should be included in payment for an illness episode
 - Information Technology- building in CER-based computerized reminders, alerts, protocols
 - Malpractice reform- e.g. protection from lawsuits for actions based on CER-based guidelines
- could incentivize providers committed to reducing disparities in access to, and use of, highly effective care



CER and Safety Net Providers

- Reforms to reward use of evidence-based services
 - P4P- bonuses for compliance with CER-based guidelines
- Could penalize providers serving complex populations
 - Despite their commitment to reducing disparities in access to highly effective care



Agenda

- What CER is and isn't
- Where CER is headed
- Outline options for putting CER into practice
- How CER can address health care disparities
- Potential impact of CER on safety net providers

